

ALGEBRAS SIMPLE WITH RESPECT TO A TAIT ALGEBRA ACTION

A. S. GORDIENKO

ABSTRACT. Algebras simple with respect to an action of a Taft algebra $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ deliver an interesting example of H -module algebras that are H -simple but not necessarily semisimple. We describe finite dimensional $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebras and prove the analog of Amitsur's conjecture for codimensions of their polynomial $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -identities. In particular, we show that the Hopf PI-exponent of an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra A over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 equals $\dim A$. The groups of automorphisms preserving the structure of an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -module algebra are studied as well.

The notion of an H -(co)module algebra is a natural generalization of the notion of a graded algebra, an algebra with an action of a group by automorphisms, and an algebra with an action of a Lie algebra by derivations. In particular, if $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ is the m^2 -dimensional Taft algebra, an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -module algebra is an algebra endowed both with an action of the cyclic group of order m and with a skew-derivation satisfying certain conditions. The Taft algebra $H_4(-1)$ is called Sweedler's algebra.

The theory of gradings on matrix algebras and simple Lie algebras is a well developed area [3, 6]. Quaternion $H_4(-1)$ -extensions and related crossed products were considered in [9]. In [14], the author classified all finite dimensional $H_4(-1)$ -simple algebras. Here we classify finite dimensional $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebras over an algebraically closed field (Sections 2–3).

Amitsur's conjecture on asymptotic behaviour of codimensions of ordinary polynomial identities was proved by A. Giambruno and M. V. Zaicev [10, Theorem 6.5.2] in 1999.

Suppose an algebra is endowed with a grading, an action of a group G by automorphisms and anti-automorphisms, an action of a Lie algebra by derivations or a structure of an H -module algebra for some Hopf algebra H . Then it is natural to consider, respectively, graded, G -, differential or H -identities [1, 2, 4, 7, 15].

The analog of Amitsur's conjecture for polynomial H -identities was proved under wide conditions by the author in [12, 13]. However, in those results the H -invariance of the Jacobson radical was required. Until now the algebras simple with respect to an action of $H_4(-1)$ were the only example where the analog of Amitsur's conjecture was proved for an H -simple non-semisimple algebra [14]. In this article we prove the analog of Amitsur's conjecture for all finite dimensional $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebras not necessarily semisimple (Section 4) assuming that the base field is algebraically closed and of characteristic 0.

1. INTRODUCTION

An algebra A over a field F is an H -module algebra for some Hopf algebra H if A is endowed with a homomorphism $H \rightarrow \text{End}_F(A)$ such that $h(ab) = (h_{(1)}a)(h_{(2)}b)$ for all $h \in H$, $a, b \in A$. Here we use Sweedler's notation $\Delta h = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$ where Δ is the comultiplication in H . We

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16W22; Secondary 16R10, 16R50, 16T05, 16W25.

Key words and phrases. Associative algebra, polynomial identity, skew-derivation, Taft algebra, H -module algebra, codimension.

Supported by Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek — Vlaanderen Pegasus Marie Curie post doctoral fellowship (Belgium) and RFBR grant 13-01-00234a (Russia).

refer the reader to [8, 17, 18] for an account of Hopf algebras and algebras with Hopf algebra actions.

Let A be an H -module algebra for some Hopf algebra H over a field F . We say that A is H -simple if $A^2 \neq 0$ and A has no non-trivial two-sided H -invariant ideals.

Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer and let ζ be a primitive m th root of unity in a field F . (Such root exists in F only if $\text{char } F \nmid m$.) Consider the algebra $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ with unity generated by elements c and v satisfying the relations $c^m = 1$, $v^m = 0$, $vc = \zeta cv$. Note that $(c^i v^k)_{0 \leq i, k \leq m-1}$ is a basis of $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$. We introduce on $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ a structure of a coalgebra by $\Delta(c) = c \otimes c$, $\Delta(v) = c \otimes v + v \otimes 1$, $\varepsilon(c) = 1$, $\varepsilon(v) = 0$. Then $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ is a Hopf algebra with the antipode S where $S(c) = c^{-1}$ and $S(v) = -c^{-1}v$. The algebra $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ is called a *Taft algebra*.

Remark. Note that if A is an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -module algebra, then the group $\langle c \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$ is acting on A by automorphisms. Every algebra A with a $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -action by automorphisms is a $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -graded algebra:

$$A^{(i)} = \{a \in A \mid ca = \zeta^i a\},$$

$A^{(i)} A^{(k)} \subseteq A^{(i+k)}$. Conversely, if $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} A^{(i)}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -graded algebra, then $\mathbb{Z}_m$ is acting on A by automorphisms: $ca^{(i)} = \zeta^i a^{(i)}$ for all $a^{(i)} \in A^{(i)}$. Moreover, the notions of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -simple and simple $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -graded algebras are equivalent.

Remark. [5, Theorems 5 and 6] imply that every $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -grading on $M_n(F)$, where F is an algebraically closed field, is, up to a conjugation, *elementary*, i.e. there exist $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that each matrix unit e_{ij} belongs to $A^{(g_i^{-1}g_j)}$. Rearranging rows and columns, we may assume that every $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -action on $M_n(F)$ is defined by $ca = Q^{-1}aQ$ for some matrix

$$Q = \text{diag}\{\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_0}, \underbrace{\zeta, \dots, \zeta}_{k_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}}_{k_{m-1}}\}.$$

2. SEMISIMPLE $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -SIMPLE ALGEBRAS

In this section we treat the case when an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra A is semisimple.

Theorem 1. *Let A be a semisimple $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra over an algebraically closed field F . Then*

$$A \cong \underbrace{M_k(F) \oplus M_k(F) \oplus \dots \oplus M_k(F)}_t \quad (\text{direct sum of ideals})$$

for some $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \mid m$, and there exist $P \in M_k(F)$ and $Q \in \text{GL}_k(F)$ where $Q^{\frac{m}{t}} = E_k$, E_k is the identity matrix $k \times k$, $QPQ^{-1} = \zeta^{-t}P$, $P^m = \alpha E_k$ for some $\alpha \in F$, such that

$$c(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t) = (Qa_t Q^{-1}, a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}), \quad (1)$$

$$v(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t) = (Pa_1 - (Qa_t Q^{-1})P, \zeta(Pa_2 - a_1 P), \dots, \zeta^{t-1}(Pa_t - a_{t-1} P)) \quad (2)$$

for all $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t \in M_k(F)$.

Remark. Diagonalizing Q , we may assume that

$$Q = \text{diag}\{\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{\zeta^t, \dots, \zeta^t}_{k_2}, \dots, \underbrace{\zeta^{t(\frac{m}{t}-1)}, \dots, \zeta^{t(\frac{m}{t}-1)}}_{k_{\frac{m}{t}}}\}$$

for some $k_1, \dots, k_{\frac{m}{t}} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $k_1 + \dots + k_{\frac{m}{t}} = k$. Now $QPQ^{-1} = \zeta^{-1}P$ imply that $P = (P_{ij})$ is a block matrix where P_{ij} is an matrix $k_{i-1} \times k_{j-1}$ and $P_{ij} = 0$ for all $j \neq i+1$ and $(i, j) \neq (\frac{m}{t}, 1)$.

We begin with three auxiliary lemmas. In the first two, we prove all the assertions of Theorem 1 except $P^m = \alpha E_k$. In Lemma 1 we treat the case when A is isomorphic to a full matrix algebra.

Lemma 1. *Let A be an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -module algebra over an algebraically closed field F , isomorphic as an algebra to $M_k(F)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist matrices $P \in M_k(F)$, $Q \in \text{GL}_k(F)$, $Q^m = E_k$ such that $QPQ^{-1} = \zeta^{-1}P$ and A is isomorphic as an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -module algebra to $M_k(F)$ with the following $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -action: $ca = QaQ^{-1}$ and $va = Pa - (QaQ^{-1})P$ for all $a \in M_k(F)$.*

Proof. All automorphisms of full matrix algebras are inner. Hence $ca = QaQ^{-1}$ for some $Q \in \text{GL}_k(F)$. Since $c^m = 1$, the matrix Q^m is scalar. Multiplying Q by the m th root of the corresponding scalar, we may assume that $Q^m = E_k$.

Recall that v is acting on A by a skew-derivation. We claim¹ that this skew-derivation is *inner*, i.e. there exists a matrix $P \in A$ such that $va = Pa - (ca)P$ for all $a \in A$. Indeed,

$$Q^{-1}(v(ab)) = Q^{-1}((ca)(vb) + (va)b) = Q^{-1}((QaQ^{-1})(vb) + (va)b) = a(Q^{-1}(vb)) + (Q^{-1}(va))b$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Hence $Q^{-1}(v(\cdot))$ is a derivation and $Q^{-1}(va) = P_0a - aP_0$ for all $a \in A$ for some $P_0 \in A$. Thus

$$va = QP_0a - QaP_0 = QP_0a - QaQ^{-1}QP_0 = Pa - (QaQ^{-1})P \text{ for all } a \in A$$

where $P = QP_0$, i.e. v acts as an inner skew-derivation.

Note that $vc = \zeta cv$ implies $c^{-1}v = \zeta vc^{-1}$,

$$Q^{-1}(Pa - (QaQ^{-1})P)Q = \zeta P(Q^{-1}aQ) - aP,$$

$$Q^{-1}PaQ - aQ^{-1}PQ = \zeta PQ^{-1}aQ - \zeta aP,$$

$$Q^{-1}Pa - aQ^{-1}P = \zeta PQ^{-1}a - \zeta aPQ^{-1},$$

$$Q^{-1}Pa - \zeta PQ^{-1}a = aQ^{-1}P - \zeta aPQ^{-1},$$

$$(Q^{-1}P - \zeta PQ^{-1})a = a(Q^{-1}P - \zeta PQ^{-1}) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Hence $Q^{-1}P - \zeta PQ^{-1} = \alpha E_k$ for some $\alpha \in F$. Now we replace P with $(P - \frac{\alpha}{1-\zeta}Q)$. Then v is the same but $Q^{-1}P - \zeta PQ^{-1} = 0$ and $QPQ^{-1} = \zeta^{-1}P$. $\square$

Here we treat the general case.

Lemma 2. *Let A be a semisimple $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra over an algebraically closed field F . Then $A \cong \underbrace{M_k(F) \oplus M_k(F) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_k(F)}_t$ (direct sum of ideals) for some $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \mid m$,*

and there exist $P \in M_k(F)$ and $Q \in \text{GL}_k(F)$, $Q^{\frac{m}{t}} = E_k$, $QPQ^{-1} = \zeta^{-t}P$, such that (1) and (2) hold for all $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t \in M_k(F)$.

Proof. If A is semisimple, then A is the direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -simple subalgebras. Let B be one of such subalgebras. Then $vb = v(1_B b) = (c1_B)(vb) + (v1_B)b \in B$ for all $b \in B$. Hence B is an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -submodule, $A = B$, and A is a $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -simple algebra. Therefore, $A \cong \underbrace{M_k(F) \oplus M_k(F) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_k(F)}_t$ (direct sum of ideals) for some $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \mid m$, and c maps

the i th component to the $(i+1)$ th.

In the case $t = 1$, the assertion is proved in Lemma 1. Consider the case $t \geq 2$. Note that c^t maps each component onto itself. Since every automorphism of the matrix algebra is inner, there exist Q such that $c^t(a, 0, \dots, 0) = (QaQ^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ for any $a \in M_k(F)$. Now $c^m = \text{id}_A$ implies that $Q^{\frac{m}{t}}$ is a scalar matrix and we may assume that $Q^{\frac{m}{t}} = E_k$ since the field F is algebraically closed and we can multiply Q by the m th root of the corresponding scalar. Therefore, we may assume that (1) holds.

¹This result is a “folklore” one. I am grateful to V.K. Kharchenko who informed me of a simple proof of it.

Let $\pi_i: A \rightarrow M_k(F)$ be the natural projections on the i th component. Consider $\rho_{ij} \in \text{End}_F(M_k(F))$, $1 \leq i, j \leq t$, defined by $\rho_{ij}(a) := \pi_i(v(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j-1}, a, 0, \dots, 0))$ for $a \in M_k(F)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{ij}(ab) &= \pi_i(v(0, \dots, 0, ab, 0, \dots, 0)) = \\ &= \pi_i(v((0, \dots, 0, a, 0, \dots, 0)(0, \dots, 0, b, 0, \dots, 0))) = \\ &= \pi_i((c(0, \dots, 0, a, 0, \dots, 0))v(0, \dots, 0, b, 0, \dots, 0)) + \\ &= \pi_i((v(0, \dots, 0, a, 0, \dots, 0))(0, \dots, 0, b, 0, \dots, 0)) = \\ &= \delta_{ij} \rho_{ii}(a)b + \delta_{j,i-1} a \rho_{i,i-1}(b) + \delta_{i1} \delta_{jt} QaQ^{-1} \rho_{1t}(b) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in M_k(F)$ where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

Let $\rho_{ii}(E_k) = P_i$, $\rho_{i,i-1}(E_k) = Q_i$, $\rho_{1t}(E_k) = Q_1$ where $P_i, Q_i \in M_k(F)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v(a_1, \dots, a_m) &= (\pi_1(v(a_1, \dots, a_t)), \dots, \pi_1(v(a_1, \dots, a_t))) = \\ &= (P_1 a_1 + (Qa_t Q^{-1})Q_1, P_2 a_2 + a_1 Q_2, \dots, P_t a_t + a_{t-1} Q_t). \end{aligned}$$

Now we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= v(\underbrace{(0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, E_k, 0, \dots, 0) \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0}_i, E_k, 0, \dots, 0)) = \\ &= (c(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, E_k, 0, \dots, 0))(v(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_i, E_k, 0, \dots, 0)) + \\ &= (v(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, E_k, 0, \dots, 0))(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_i, E_k, 0, \dots, 0) = \\ &= (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_i, P_{i+1} + Q_{i+1}, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Q_{i+1} = -P_{i+1}$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (-\zeta Q P_t Q^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0, \zeta P_{t-1}) &= \zeta c v(0, \dots, 0, E_k, 0) = v c(0, \dots, 0, E_k, 0) = \\ &= v(0, \dots, 0, E_k) = (-P_1, 0, \dots, 0, P_t), \\ (\zeta Q P_t Q^{-1}, -\zeta P_1, 0, \dots, 0) &= \zeta c v(0, \dots, 0, E_k) = v c(0, \dots, 0, E_k) = \\ &= v(E_k, 0, \dots, 0) = (P_1, -P_2, 0, \dots, 0), \end{aligned}$$

and $P_1 = \zeta Q P_t Q^{-1}$, $P_2 = \zeta P_1$, $P_t = \zeta P_{t-1}$.

Moreover, if $t > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_i, \zeta P_i, -\zeta P_{i+1}, 0, \dots, 0) &= \zeta c v(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, E_k, 0, \dots, 0) = \\ v c(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, E_k, 0, \dots, 0) &= v(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_i, E_k, 0, \dots, 0) = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_i, P_{i+1}, -P_{i+2}, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq t-2$. Therefore, $P_{i+1} = \zeta P_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t-1$. Let $P := P_1$. Then $P_i = \zeta^{i-1} P$, $\zeta^t Q P Q^{-1} = P$, (2) holds, and the lemma is proved. $\square$

Recall the definition of *quantum binomial coefficients*:

$$\binom{n}{k}_\zeta := \frac{n!_\zeta}{(n-k)!_\zeta k!_\zeta}$$

where $n!_\zeta := n_\zeta(n-1)_\zeta \cdots 1_\zeta$ and $n_\zeta := 1 + \zeta + \zeta^2 + \cdots + \zeta^{n-1}$.

Lemma 3. Let v be the operator defined on $M_k(F)^t$ by (2) where $QPQ^{-1} = \zeta^{-t}P$. Then

$$v^\ell(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t) = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t)$$

where

$$b_k = \zeta^{\ell(k-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^j \zeta^{-\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \binom{\ell}{j}_{\zeta^{-1}} P^{\ell-j} a_{k-j} P^j \quad (3)$$

and $a_{-j} := Qa_{t-j}Q^{-1}$, $j \geq 0$, $a_i \in M_k(F)$, $1 \leq \ell \leq m$.

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction on ℓ . The base $\ell = 1$ is evident. Suppose (3) holds for ℓ . Then

$$v^{\ell+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t) = (\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2, \dots, \tilde{b}_t)$$

where $\tilde{b}_k = \zeta^{k-1}(Pb_k - b_{k-1}P)$, $1 \leq k \leq t$, and $b_0 := Qb_tQ^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}_k &= \zeta^{k-1} \left(\zeta^{\ell(k-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^j \zeta^{-\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \binom{\ell}{j}_{\zeta^{-1}} P^{\ell-j+1} a_{k-j} P^j - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \zeta^{\ell(k-2)} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^j \zeta^{-\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \binom{\ell}{j}_{\zeta^{-1}} P^{\ell-j} a_{k-j-1} P^{j+1} \right) = \\ &= \zeta^{k-1} \left(\zeta^{\ell(k-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^j \zeta^{-\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \binom{\ell}{j}_{\zeta^{-1}} P^{\ell-j+1} a_{k-j} P^j - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \zeta^{\ell(k-2)} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell+1} (-1)^{j-1} \zeta^{-\frac{(j-2)(j-1)}{2}} \binom{\ell}{j-1}_{\zeta^{-1}} P^{\ell-j+1} a_{k-j} P^j \right) = \\ &= \zeta^{(\ell+1)(k-1)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^j \zeta^{-\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \binom{\ell}{j}_{\zeta^{-1}} P^{\ell-j+1} a_{k-j} P^j + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{j=1}^{\ell+1} (-1)^j \zeta^{-\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \zeta^{j-\ell-1} \binom{\ell}{j-1}_{\zeta^{-1}} P^{\ell-j+1} a_{k-j} P^j \right) = \\ &= \zeta^{(\ell+1)(k-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell+1} (-1)^j \zeta^{-\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \binom{\ell+1}{j}_{\zeta^{-1}} P^{\ell-j+1} a_{k-j} P^j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3) holds for every $1 \leq \ell \leq m$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Recall that $v^m = 0$ and $\binom{m}{j}_{\zeta^{-1}} = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. Thus Lemmas 2 and 3 imply

$$\begin{aligned} v^m(a_1, \dots, a_t) &= (P^m a_1 - a_{1-m} P^m, P^m a_2 - a_{2-m} P^m, \dots, P^m a_t - a_{t-m} P^m) = \\ &= ([P^m, a_1], [P^m, a_2], \dots, [P^m, a_t]) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_i \in M_k(F)$ since $Q^{\frac{m}{t}} = E_k$. Therefore, $P^m = \alpha E_k$ for some $\alpha \in F$, and we get the theorem. $\square$

Remark. Conversely, for every $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \mid m$, and matrices $P \in M_k(F)$ and $Q \in \text{GL}_k(F)$ such that $Q^{\frac{m}{t}} = E_k$, $QPQ^{-1} = \zeta^{-t}P$, $P^m = \alpha E_k$ for some $\alpha \in F$, we can define the structure of an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra on $A \cong \underbrace{M_k(F) \oplus M_k(F) \oplus \dots \oplus M_k(F)}_t$ (direct sum of ideals)

by (1) and (2), and this algebra A is even $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -simple.

Theorem 2. Let $A \cong \underbrace{M_k(F) \oplus M_k(F) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_k(F)}_t$ (direct sum of ideals) be a semisimple

$H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra over a field F defined by matrices $P_1 \in M_k(F)$ and $Q_1 \in \text{GL}_k(F)$ by (1) and (2), and let A_2 be another such algebra defined by matrices $P_2 \in M_k(F)$ and $Q_2 \in \text{GL}_k(F)$. Then $A_1 \cong A_2$ as algebras and $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -modules if and only if $P_2 = \zeta^r T P_1 T^{-1}$ and $Q_2 = \beta T Q_1 T^{-1}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\beta \in F$, and $T \in \text{GL}_k(F)$.

Proof. Note that in each of A_1 and A_2 there exist exactly t simple ideals isomorphic to $M_k(F)$. Moreover, each isomorphism of $M_k(F)$ is inner. Therefore, if $\varphi: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is an isomorphism of algebras and $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -modules, then there exist matrices $T_i \in \text{GL}_k(F)$ and a number $0 \leq r < t$ such that

$$\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_m) = (T_{r+1}a_{r+1}T_{r+1}^{-1}, T_{r+2}a_{r+2}T_{r+2}^{-1}, \dots, T_t a_t T_t^{-1}, \\ T_1 a_1 T_1^{-1}, T_2 a_2 T_2^{-1}, \dots, T_r a_r T_r^{-1})$$

for all $a_i \in M_k(F)$. (Here we use the fact that φ must commute with c .) Using $c\varphi = \varphi c$ once again, we get

$$c\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_m) = (Q_2 T_r a_r T_r^{-1} Q_2^{-1}, T_{r+1} a_{r+1} T_{r+1}^{-1}, T_{r+2} a_{r+2} T_{r+2}^{-1}, \dots, T_t a_t T_t^{-1}, \\ T_1 a_1 T_1^{-1}, T_2 a_2 T_2^{-1}, \dots, T_{r-1} a_{r-1} T_{r-1}^{-1}) = \\ \varphi c(a_1, \dots, a_m) = (T_{r+1} a_r T_{r+1}^{-1}, T_{r+2} a_{r+1} T_{r+2}^{-1}, \dots, T_t a_{t-1} T_t^{-1}, \\ T_1 Q_1 a_t Q_1^{-1} T_1^{-1}, T_2 a_1 T_2^{-1}, \dots, T_r a_{r-1} T_r^{-1})$$

for all $a_i \in M_k(F)$. Therefore, T_i is proportional to T_{i+1} for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, $r+1 \leq i \leq t-1$. In addition, $Q_2 T_r$ is proportional to T_{r+1} , and T_t is proportional to $T_1 Q_1$. Multiplying T_i by scalars, we may assume that $T_1 = \dots = T_r$, $T_{r+1} = \dots = T_t = T_1 Q_1$. Let $T := T_{r+1}$. Then

$$\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_m) = (T a_{r+1} T^{-1}, T a_{r+2} T^{-1}, \dots, T a_t T^{-1}, \\ T Q_1^{-1} a_1 Q_1 T^{-1}, T Q_1^{-1} a_2 Q_1 T^{-1}, \dots, T Q_1^{-1} a_r Q_1 T^{-1})$$

and $Q_2 = \beta T Q_1 T^{-1}$ for some $\beta \in F$.

Using $v\varphi = \varphi v$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (P_2 T a_{r+1} T^{-1} - T a_r T^{-1} P_2, \zeta(P_2 T a_{r+2} T^{-1} - T a_{r+1} T^{-1} P_2), \dots, \\
& \quad \zeta^{t-r-1}(P_2 T a_t T^{-1} - T a_{t-1} T^{-1} P_2), \\
& \zeta^{t-r}(P_2 T Q_1^{-1} a_1 Q_1 T^{-1} - T a_t T^{-1} P_2), \zeta^{t-r+1}(P_2 T Q_1^{-1} a_2 Q_1 T^{-1} - T Q_1^{-1} a_1 Q_1 T^{-1} P_2), \dots, \\
& \quad \zeta^{t-1}(P_2 T Q_1^{-1} a_r Q_1 T^{-1} - T Q_1^{-1} a_{r-1} Q_1 T^{-1} P_2)) = \\
& (P_2 T a_{r+1} T^{-1} - Q_2 T Q_1^{-1} a_r Q_1 T^{-1} Q_2^{-1} P_2, \zeta(P_2 T a_{r+2} T^{-1} - T a_{r+1} T^{-1} P_2), \dots, \\
& \quad \zeta^{t-r-1}(P_2 T a_t T^{-1} - T a_{t-1} T^{-1} P_2), \\
& \zeta^{t-r}(P_2 T Q_1^{-1} a_1 Q_1 T^{-1} - T a_t T^{-1} P_2), \zeta^{t-r+1}(P_2 T Q_1^{-1} a_2 Q_1 T^{-1} - T Q_1^{-1} a_1 Q_1 T^{-1} P_2), \dots, \\
& \quad \zeta^{t-1}(P_2 T Q_1^{-1} a_r Q_1 T^{-1} - T Q_1^{-1} a_{r-1} Q_1 T^{-1} P_2)) = \\
& \quad v(T a_{r+1} T^{-1}, T a_{r+2} T^{-1}, \dots, T a_t T^{-1}, \\
& \quad T Q_1^{-1} a_1 Q_1 T^{-1}, T Q_1^{-1} a_2 Q_1 T^{-1}, \dots, T Q_1^{-1} a_r Q_1 T^{-1}) = v\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \\
& \varphi v(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \varphi(P_1 a_1 - (Q_1 a_t Q_1^{-1}) P_1, \zeta(P_1 a_2 - a_1 P_1), \dots, \zeta^{t-1}(P_1 a_t - a_{t-1} P_1)) = \\
& (\zeta^r T(P_1 a_{r+1} - a_r P_1) T^{-1}, \zeta^{r+1} T(P_1 a_{r+2} - a_{r+1} P_1) T^{-1}, \dots, \zeta^{t-1} T(P_1 a_t - a_{t-1} P_1) T^{-1}, \\
& \quad T Q_1^{-1}(P_1 a_1 - (Q_1 a_t Q_1^{-1}) P_1) Q_1 T^{-1}, \zeta T Q_1^{-1}(P_1 a_2 - a_1 P_1) Q_1 T^{-1}, \dots, \\
& \quad \zeta^{r-1} T Q_1^{-1}(P_1 a_r - a_{r-1} P_1) Q_1 T^{-1}) = \\
& (\zeta^r(T P_1 a_{r+1} T^{-1} - T a_r P_1 T^{-1}), \zeta^{r+1}(T P_1 a_{r+2} T^{-1} - T a_{r+1} P_1 T^{-1}), \dots, \\
& \quad \zeta^{t-1}(T P_1 a_t T^{-1} - T a_{t-1} P_1 T^{-1}), \\
& T Q_1^{-1} P_1 a_1 Q_1 T^{-1} - T a_t (Q_1^{-1} P_1 Q_1) T^{-1}, \zeta(T Q_1^{-1} P_1 a_2 Q_1 T^{-1} - T Q_1^{-1} a_1 P_1 Q_1 T^{-1}), \dots, \\
& \quad \zeta^{r-1}(T Q_1^{-1} P_1 a_r Q_1 T^{-1} - T Q_1^{-1} a_{r-1} P_1 Q_1 T^{-1}))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a_i \in M_k(F)$. Hence

$$P_2 = \zeta^r T P_1 T^{-1} = \zeta^{r-t} T Q_1^{-1} P_1 Q_1 T^{-1}$$

if $r > 0$, and $P_2 = T P_1 T^{-1}$ if $r = 0$. Taking $Q_1 P_1 Q_1^{-1} = \zeta^{-t} P_1$ into account, we reduce both conditions to $P_2 = \zeta^r T P_1 T^{-1}$.

The converse assertion is proved explicitly. If $P_2 = \zeta^r T P_1 T^{-1}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can always make $0 \leq r < t$ conjugating P_1 by Q_1 . $\square$

Remark. Therefore every automorphism of a semisimple $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra A that corresponds to a number $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and matrices $P \in M_k(F)$, $Q \in \text{GL}_k(F)$, can be identified with a pair $(\bar{T}, r)$, $0 \leq r < t$ where $T \in \text{GL}_k(F)$, $Q T Q^{-1} T^{-1} = \beta E_k$ for some $\beta \in F$, $P = \zeta^r T P T^{-1}$. (Here by $\bar{T}$ we denote the class of a matrix $T \in \text{GL}_k(F)$ in $\text{PGL}_k(F)$.) If we transfer the multiplication from the automorphism group to the set of such pairs, we get

$$(\bar{W}, s)(\bar{T}, r) = \begin{cases} (\overline{WT}, r+s) & \text{if } r+s < t, \\ (\overline{WTQ^{-1}}, r+s-t) & \text{if } r+s \geq t. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the automorphism group of A is an extension of a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ by a subgroup of $\text{PGL}_k(F)$.

Remark. The case $m = 2$ is worked out in detail in [14]. Below we list several examples that are consequences of Theorems 1 and 2.

Example 1. In the case of $m = 2$ and $A \cong M_2(F)$ we have the following variants:

- (1) $A = A^{(0)} = M_2(F)$, $A^{(1)} = 0$, $ca = a$, $va = 0$ for all $a \in A$;

(2) $A = A^{(0)} \oplus A^{(1)}$ where

$$A^{(0)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta \in F \right\}$$

and

$$A^{(1)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta \in F \right\},$$

$ca = (-1)^i a$, $va = 0$ for $a \in A^{(i)}$;

(3) $A = A^{(0)} \oplus A^{(1)}$ where

$$A^{(0)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta \in F \right\}$$

and

$$A^{(1)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta \in F \right\},$$

$ca = (-1)^i a$, $va = Pa - (ca)P$ for $a \in A^{(i)}$ where $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\gamma \in F$ is a fixed number.

Example 2. Every semisimple $H_4(-1)$ -simple algebra A over an algebraically closed field F , $\text{char } F \neq 2$, that is not simple as an ordinary algebra, is isomorphic to $M_k(F) \oplus M_k(F)$ (direct sum of ideals) for some $k \geq 1$ where

$$c(a, b) = (b, a), \quad v(a, b) = (Pa - bP, aP - Pb)$$

for all $a, b \in M_k(F)$ and

- (1) either $P = \underbrace{(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha)}_{k_1}, \underbrace{(-\alpha, -\alpha, \dots, -\alpha)}_{k_2}$ for some $\alpha \in F$ and $k_1 \geq k_2$, $k_1 + k_2 = k$,
- (2) or P is a block diagonal matrix with several blocks $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on the main diagonal (the rest cells are filled with zeros)

and these algebras are not isomorphic for different P .

3. NON-SEMISIMPLE ALGEBRAS

First we construct an example of an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra and then we prove that every non-semisimple $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra is isomorphic to one of the algebras below.

Theorem 3. Let B be a simple $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -graded algebra over a field F . Suppose F contains some primitive m th root of unity ζ . Define $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -graded vector spaces W_i , $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, $W_0 := B$, with linear isomorphisms $\varphi: W_{i-1} \rightarrow W_i$ (we denote the isomorphisms by the same letter), $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, such that $\varphi(W_{i-1}^{(\ell)}) = W_i^{(\ell+1)}$. Let $\varphi(W_{m-1}) = 0$. Consider $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -module $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} W_i$ (direct sum of subspaces) where $v\varphi(a) = a$ for all $a \in W_i$, $0 \leq i \leq m-2$, $vB = 0$, and $ca^{(i)} = \zeta^i a^{(i)}$, $a^{(i)} \in A^{(i)}$, $A^{(i)} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} W_i^{(i)}$ (direct sum of subspaces). Define the multiplication on A by

$$\varphi^k(a)\varphi^\ell(b) = \binom{k+\ell}{k}_\zeta \varphi^{k+\ell}((c^\ell a)b) \text{ for all } a, b \in B \text{ and } 0 \leq k, \ell < m.$$

Then A is an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra.

Proof. We check explicitly that the formulas indeed define on A a structure of an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -module algebra.

Suppose that I is an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -invariant ideal of A . Then $v^m I = 0$. Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $v^t I \neq 0$, $v^{t+1} I = 0$. Then $0 \neq v^t I \subseteq I \cap \ker v$. However, $\ker v = B$ is a simple graded algebra. Thus $\ker v \subseteq I$. Since $1_A \in I$, we get $I = A$. Therefore, A is an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra. $\square$

Now we prove that we indeed have obtained all non-semisimple $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebras.

Theorem 4. *Suppose A is a finite dimensional $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra over a perfect field F and $J := J(A) \neq 0$. Then A is isomorphic to an algebra from Theorem 3.*

Corollary. *Let A be a finite dimensional $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra over F where F is a field of characteristic 0, an algebraically closed field, or a finite field. Suppose $J := J(A) \neq 0$. Then A is isomorphic to an algebra from Theorem 3.*

In order to prove Theorem 4, we need several auxiliary lemmas.

Let M_1 and M_2 be two (A, A) -graded bimodules for a $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -graded algebra A . We say that a linear isomorphism $\varphi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a c -isomorphism of M_1 and M_2 if there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $c\varphi(b) = \zeta^{-r}\varphi(cb)$, $\varphi(ab) = (c^r a)\varphi(b)$, $\varphi(ba) = \varphi(b)a$ for all $b \in M_1$, $a \in A$.

Lemma 4. *Suppose A is a finite dimensional $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra over a field F and $J := J(A) \neq 0$. Let $J^\ell = 0$, $J^{\ell-1} \neq 0$. Choose a minimal $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -graded A -ideal $\tilde{J} \subseteq J^{\ell-1}$. Then for any k , $J_k := \sum_{i=0}^{i=k} v^i \tilde{J}$ is a graded ideal of A and $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t v^i \tilde{J}$ (direct sum of graded subspaces) for some $1 \leq t \leq m-1$. Moreover, J_k/J_{k-1} , $0 \leq k \leq t$, are irreducible graded (A, A) -bimodules c -isomorphic to each other. (Here $J_{-1} := 0$.)*

Proof. Since for any $a \in \tilde{J}$, $b \in A$, the element $(v^k a)b$ can be presented as a linear combination of elements $v^i((c^{k-i}a)(v^{k-i}b))$, each $J_k := \sum_{i=0}^{i=k} v^i \tilde{J}$ is a graded ideal of A .

Recall that $v^m = 0$. Thus J_m is an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -invariant ideal of A . Hence $A = J_m$.

Let $\varphi_k: J_k/J_{k-1} \rightarrow J_{k+1}/J_k$ where $0 \leq k \leq m-1$, be the map defined by $\varphi_k(a + J_{k-1}) = va + J_k$. Then $c\varphi_k(\bar{b}) = \zeta^{-1}\varphi_k(c\bar{b})$,

$$\varphi_k(a\bar{b}) = v(ab) + J_k = (ca)(vb) + (va)b + J_k = (ca)(vb) + J_k = (ca)\varphi_k(\bar{b}),$$

$$\varphi_k(\bar{b}a) = v(ba) + J_k = (cb)(va) + (vb)a + J_k = (vb)a + J_k = \varphi_k(\bar{b})a$$

for all $a \in A$, $b \in J_k$. Note that $\tilde{J} = J_0/J_{-1}$ is an irreducible graded (A, A) -bimodule. Therefore, J_{k+1}/J_k is an irreducible graded (A, A) -bimodule or zero for any $0 \leq k \leq m-1$. Thus if $A = J_t$, $A \neq J_{t-1}$, then $\dim J_t = (t+1)\dim \tilde{J}$ and $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t v^i \tilde{J}$ (direct sum of graded subspaces). $\square$

Lemma 5. *Suppose A is a finite dimensional $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra over a perfect field F where $J(A) \neq 0$. Then A has unity, $A/J(A)$ is a simple $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -graded algebra, and $J_{t-1} = J(A)$. (The ideal J_{t-1} was defined in Lemma 4.)*

Proof. Note that $J(A)$ annihilates all irreducible (A, A) -bimodules. Thus J_k/J_{k-1} are irreducible $(A/J(A), A/J(A))$ -bimodules. By [19], there exists a maximal $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -graded semisimple subalgebra $B \subseteq A$ such that $A = B \oplus J(A)$ (direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -graded subspaces), $B \cong A/J(A)$. Note that J_k/J_{k-1} are irreducible (B, B) -bimodules. Let e be the unity of B . Then

$$A = eAe \oplus (\text{id}_A - e)Ae \oplus eA(\text{id}_A - e) \oplus (\text{id}_A - e)A(\text{id}_A - e) \text{ (direct sum of graded subspaces)}$$

where id_A is the identity map. Note that eAe is a completely reducible graded left $B \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ -module, $(\text{id}_A - e)Ae$ is a completely reducible graded right B -module, $eA(\text{id}_A - e)$ is a completely reducible graded left B -module, and $(\text{id}_A - e)A(\text{id}_A - e)$ is a graded subspace with zero B -action. Thus A is a sum of irreducible graded (B, B) -bimodules or bimodules with

zero B -action. Since by Lemma 4, the algebra A has a series of graded (B, B) -subbimodules with c -isomorphic irreducible factors, the only possibility is that $A = eAe$, $A/J(A)$ is a simple graded algebra. Therefore, $J(A)$ is the unique maximal graded ideal. Note that all $J_k/J_{k-1} \cong A/J(A)$ and, in particular, $A/J_{t-1} = J_t/J_{t-1} \cong A/J(A)$ (as vector spaces). Hence $\dim J_t = \dim J(A)$ and $J_{t-1} = J(A)$. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Suppose A is a finite dimensional $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra over a perfect field F where $J(A) \neq 0$. Define the linear map $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$ by $\varphi(v^k a) = v^{k-1} a$ for all $a \in \tilde{J}$, $1 \leq k \leq t$, $\varphi(\tilde{J}) = 0$. (See Lemma 4.) Then*

$$\varphi^k(a)\varphi^\ell(b) = \binom{k+\ell}{k}_\zeta \varphi^{k+\ell}((c^\ell a)b) \text{ for all } a, b \in \ker v \text{ and } 0 \leq k, \ell < m. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Note that $\varphi(va) = a$ for all $a \in J_{t-1}$. Thus the properties of v imply $c\varphi(a) = \zeta\varphi(ca)$, $\varphi(ba) = (c^{-1}b)\varphi(a)$, $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)b$ for all $a \in vJ_{t-1}$, $b \in \ker v$, and therefore for all $a \in A$, $b \in \ker v$ since $A = vJ_{t-1} \oplus \tilde{J}$ (direct sum of graded subspaces) and $\varphi(\tilde{J}) = 0$. This proves (4) for $k = 0$ or $\ell = 0$.

Recall that, by Lemma 5, $\text{im } \varphi = J_{t-1} = J(A)$. Hence $(\text{im } \varphi)\tilde{J} = \tilde{J}(\text{im } \varphi) = 0$. Moreover $v\varphi(a) - a \in \tilde{J}$ for all $a \in A$. Now the case of arbitrary k and ℓ is done by induction:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^k(a)\varphi^\ell(b) &= \varphi(v(\varphi^k(a)\varphi^\ell(b))) = \varphi((c\varphi^k(a))\varphi^{\ell-1}(b) + \varphi^{k-1}(a)\varphi^\ell(b)) = \\ &= \varphi((\zeta^k \varphi^k(ca))\varphi^{\ell-1}(b) + \varphi^{k-1}(a)\varphi^\ell(b)) = \\ &= \varphi\left(\zeta^k \binom{k+\ell-1}{k}_\zeta \varphi^{k+\ell-1}((c^\ell a)b) + \binom{k+\ell-1}{k-1}_\zeta \varphi^{k+\ell-1}((c^\ell a)b)\right) = \\ &= \left(\zeta^k \binom{k+\ell-1}{k}_\zeta + \binom{k+\ell-1}{k-1}_\zeta\right) \varphi^{k+\ell}((c^\ell a)b) = \\ &= \binom{k+\ell}{k}_\zeta \varphi^{k+\ell}((c^\ell a)b) \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^k \binom{k+\ell-1}{k}_\zeta + \binom{k+\ell-1}{k-1}_\zeta &= \frac{\zeta^k (k+\ell-1)!_\zeta}{k!_\zeta (\ell-1)!_\zeta} + \frac{(k+\ell-1)!_\zeta}{(k-1)!_\zeta \ell!_\zeta} = \\ &= (\zeta^k \ell_\zeta + k_\zeta) \frac{(k+\ell-1)!_\zeta}{k!_\zeta \ell!_\zeta} = (k+\ell)_\zeta \frac{(k+\ell-1)!_\zeta}{k!_\zeta \ell!_\zeta} = \frac{(k+\ell)!_\zeta}{k!_\zeta \ell!_\zeta} = \binom{k+\ell}{k}_\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. By Lemma 5, there exists unity $1_A \in A$. Note that $1_A \notin J_{t-1}$ (see the definition in Lemma 4), since J_{t-1} is an ideal. Hence $\varphi^t(1_A) \neq 0$. (See the definition of the map φ in Lemma 6.) Since $v\varphi(a) - a \in \tilde{J}$ for all $a \in A$, we have $v\varphi^t(1_A) = \varphi^{t-1}(1_A) + j_1$ and $v\varphi(1_A) = 1_A + j_2$ for some $j_1, j_2 \in \tilde{J}$. Note that $\varphi^t(1_A)\varphi(1_A) = \binom{t+1}{t}_\zeta \varphi^{t+1}(1_A) = 0$. However

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= v(\varphi^t(1_A)\varphi(1_A)) = (v\varphi^t(1_A))\varphi(1_A) + (c\varphi^t(1_A))v\varphi(1_A) = \\ &= (\varphi^{t-1}(1_A) + j_1)\varphi(1_A) + \zeta^t \varphi^t(1_A)(1_A + j_2) = \varphi^{t-1}(1_A)\varphi(1_A) + \zeta^t \varphi^t(1_A)1_A = \\ &= \left(\binom{t}{t-1}_\zeta + \zeta^t\right) \varphi^t(1_A) = (t+1)_\zeta \varphi^t(1_A) \end{aligned}$$

since by Lemma 5, $(\text{im } \varphi)\tilde{J} = J_{t-1}\tilde{J} = J(A)\tilde{J} = 0$. Hence $(t+1)_\zeta = 0$ and $t = m-1$. By Lemma 5, $J(A) = J_{m-2}$. Thus $\ker v = v^{m-1}\tilde{J} \cong A/J(A)$. Now (4) implies the theorem. $\square$

Remark. Since the maximal semisimple subalgebra $\ker v$ is uniquely determined, any two such $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebras A are isomorphic as $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -module algebras if and only if their subalgebras $\ker v$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -algebras. Moreover, all automorphisms of A as an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -module algebra are induced by the automorphisms of $\ker v$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -algebra. Indeed, let $\psi: A \rightarrow A$ be an automorphism of A as an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -module algebra. Since $\tilde{J} = J(A)^{m-1}$, $\psi(\tilde{J}) = \tilde{J}$ and

$$v^{m-1}\psi(\varphi^{m-1}(a)) = \psi(a) \text{ for all } a \in \ker v$$

implies

$$\psi(\varphi^{m-1}(a)) = \varphi^{m-1}(\psi(a)).$$

Applying v^{m-k-1} , we get $\psi(\varphi^k(a)) = \varphi^k(\psi(a))$ for all $a \in \ker v$ and $0 \leq k < m$.

4. GROWTH OF POLYNOMIAL $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -IDENTITIES

Here we apply the results of Section 3 to polynomial $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -identities.

First we introduce the notion of the free associative H -module algebra. Here we follow [4]. Let $F\langle X \rangle$ be the free associative algebra without 1 on the set $X := \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$. Then $F\langle X \rangle = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F\langle X \rangle^{(n)}$ where $F\langle X \rangle^{(n)}$ is the linear span of all monomials of total degree n . Let H be a Hopf algebra over F . Consider the algebra

$$F\langle X|H \rangle := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H^{\otimes n} \otimes F\langle X \rangle^{(n)}$$

with the multiplication $(u_1 \otimes w_1)(u_2 \otimes w_2) := (u_1 \otimes u_2) \otimes w_1 w_2$ for all $u_1 \in H^{\otimes j}$, $u_2 \in H^{\otimes k}$, $w_1 \in F\langle X \rangle^{(j)}$, $w_2 \in F\langle X \rangle^{(k)}$. We use the notation

$$x_{i_1}^{h_1} x_{i_2}^{h_2} \dots x_{i_n}^{h_n} := (h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n) \otimes x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n}.$$

Here $h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n \in H^{\otimes n}$, $x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n} \in F\langle X \rangle^{(n)}$.

Note that if $(\gamma_\beta)_{\beta \in \Lambda}$ is a basis in H , then $F\langle X|H \rangle$ is isomorphic to the free associative algebra over F with free formal generators $x_i^{\gamma_\beta}$, $\beta \in \Lambda$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We refer to the elements of $F\langle X|H \rangle$ as *associative H -polynomials*.

In addition, $F\langle X|H \rangle$ becomes an H -module algebra with the H -action defined by $h(x_{i_1}^{h_1} x_{i_2}^{h_2} \dots x_{i_n}^{h_n}) = x_{i_1}^{h_{(1)}h_1} x_{i_2}^{h_{(2)}h_2} \dots x_{i_n}^{h_{(n)}h_n}$ for $h \in H$.

Let A be an associative H -module algebra. Any map $\psi: X \rightarrow A$ has a unique homomorphic extension $\tilde{\psi}: F\langle X|H \rangle \rightarrow A$ such that $\tilde{\psi}(hw) = h\psi(w)$ for all $w \in F\langle X|H \rangle$ and $h \in H$. An H -polynomial $f \in F\langle X|H \rangle$ is an H -identity of A if $\varphi(f) = 0$ for all homomorphisms $\varphi: F\langle X|H \rangle \rightarrow A$ of algebras and H -modules. In other words, $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is an H -identity of A if and only if $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for any $a_i \in A$. In this case we write $f \equiv 0$. The set $\text{Id}^H(A)$ of all H -identities of A is an H -invariant ideal of $F\langle X|H \rangle$.

We denote by P_n^H the space of all multilinear H -polynomials in $x_1, \dots, x_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e.

$$P_n^H = \langle x_{\sigma(1)}^{h_1} x_{\sigma(2)}^{h_2} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}^{h_n} \mid h_i \in H, \sigma \in S_n \rangle_F \subset F\langle X|H \rangle.$$

Then the number $c_n^H(A) := \dim \left(\frac{P_n^H}{P_n^H \cap \text{Id}^H(A)} \right)$ is called the n th *codimension of polynomial H -identities* or the n th *H -codimension* of A .

The analog of Amitsur's conjecture for H -codimensions can be formulated as follows.

Conjecture. *There exists $\text{PIexp}^H(A) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n^H(A)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

In the theorem below we consider the case $H = H_{m^2}(\zeta)$.

Theorem 5. *Let A be a finite dimensional $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple algebra over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic 0. Then there exist constants $C > 0$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$Cn^r(\dim A)^n \leq c_n^{H_{m^2}(\zeta)}(A) \leq (\dim A)^{n+1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Corollary. *The analog of Amitsur's conjecture holds for such codimensions. In particular, $\text{Plexp}^H(A) = \dim A$.*

In order to prove Theorem 5, we need one lemma.

Let $k\ell \leq n$ where $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are some numbers. Denote by $Q_{\ell, k, n}^H \subseteq P_n^H$ the subspace spanned by all H -polynomials that are alternating in k disjoint subsets of variables $\{x_1^i, \dots, x_\ell^i\} \subseteq \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Lemma 7. *Let A be an $H_{m^2}(\zeta)$ -simple non-semisimple associative algebra over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic 0, $\dim A = \ell m$. Then there exists a number $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$ there exist disjoint subsets $X_1, \dots, X_k \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $k = \lfloor \frac{n-n_0}{2\ell m} \rfloor$, $|X_1| = \dots = |X_k| = \ell m$ and a polynomial $f \in P_n^{H_{m^2}(\zeta)} \setminus \text{Id}^{H_{m^2}(\zeta)}(A)$ alternating in the variables of each set X_j .*

Proof. By Theorem 4, $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} v^i \tilde{J}$ (direct sum of subspaces) where $\tilde{J}^2 = 0$ and $v^{m-1} \tilde{J}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -simple subalgebra.

Fix the basis $a_1, \dots, a_\ell; va_1, \dots, va_\ell; \dots; v^{m-1}a_1, \dots, v^{m-1}a_\ell$ in A where $a_1, \dots, a_\ell$ is a basis in $\tilde{J}$.

Since $v^{m-1} \tilde{J}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -simple subalgebra, by [11, Theorem 7], there exist $T \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_T \in v^{m-1} \tilde{J}$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists

$$f_0 = f_0(x_1^1, \dots, x_\ell^1; \dots; x_1^{2k}, \dots, x_\ell^{2km}; z_1, \dots, z_T; \bar{z}) \in Q_{\ell, 2km, 2k\ell m + T + 1}^{F\mathbb{Z}_m}$$

such that for any $\bar{z} \in v^{m-1} \tilde{J}$ we have

$$f_0(v^{m-1}a_1, \dots, v^{m-1}a_\ell; \dots; v^{m-1}a_1, \dots, v^{m-1}a_\ell; \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_T; \bar{z}) = \bar{z}.$$

Take $n_0 = T + 1$, $k = \lfloor \frac{n-n_0}{2\ell m} \rfloor$, and consider

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1^1, \dots, x_{\ell m}^1; \dots; x_1^{2k}, \dots, x_{\ell m}^{2k}; z_1, \dots, z_T; \bar{z}; y_1, \dots, y_{n-2k\ell m-T-1}) = \\ \text{Alt}_1 \text{Alt}_2 \dots \text{Alt}_{2k} f_0(x_1^1, \dots, x_\ell^1; (x_{\ell+1}^1)^v, \dots, (x_{2\ell}^1)^v; (x_{2\ell+1}^1)^{v^2}, \dots, (x_{3\ell}^1)^{v^2}; \dots; \\ (x_{\ell(m-1)+1}^1)^{v^{m-1}}, \dots, (x_{\ell m}^1)^{v^{m-1}}; \dots; \\ x_1^{2k}, \dots, x_\ell^{2k}; (x_{\ell+1}^{2k})^v, \dots, (x_{2\ell}^{2k})^v; (x_{2\ell+1}^{2k})^{v^2}, \dots, (x_{3\ell}^{2k})^{v^2}; \dots; \\ (x_{\ell(m-1)+1}^{2k})^{v^{m-1}}, \dots, (x_{\ell m}^{2k})^{v^{m-1}}; z_1, \dots, z_T; \bar{z}) y_1 y_2 \dots y_{n-2k\ell m-T-1} \in P_n^{H_{m^2}(\zeta)} \end{aligned}$$

where Alt_i is the operator of alternation on the set $X_i := \{x_1^i, \dots, x_{\ell m}^i\}$.

Now we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} f(v^{m-1}a_1, \dots, v^{m-1}a_\ell, \dots, va_1, \dots, va_\ell, a_1, \dots, a_\ell; \dots; \\ v^{m-1}a_1, \dots, v^{m-1}a_\ell, \dots, va_1, \dots, va_\ell, a_1, \dots, a_\ell; \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_T; 1_A, \dots, 1_A) = (\ell!)^{2km} 1_A \end{aligned}$$

since $v^m = 0$. The lemma is proved. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5. If A is semisimple, then Theorem 5 follows from [11, Theorem 5]. If A is not semisimple, we repeat verbatim the proof of [11, Lemma 11 and Theorem 5] using Lemma 7 instead of [11, Lemma 10] and [11, Lemma 4] instead of [11, Theorem 6]. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to E. Jespers and E. Aljadeff for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bahturin, Yu. A., Giambruno, A., Zaicev, M. V. G -identities on associative algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **127**:1 (1999), 63–69.
- [2] Bahturin, Yu. A., Zaicev, M. V. Identities of graded algebras and codimension growth. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356**:10 (2004), 3939–3950.
- [3] Bahturin, Yu. A., Kochetov, M. V., Montgomery S. Group gradings on simple Lie algebras in positive characteristic. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **137** (2009), 1245–1254
- [4] Bahturin, Yu. A., Linchenko, V. Identities of algebras with actions of Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* **202**:2 (1998), 634–654.
- [5] Bahturin, Yu. A., Zaicev, M. V., Sehgal, S. K. Group gradings on associative algebras. *J. Algebra*, **241** (2001), 677–698.
- [6] Bahturin, Yu. A., Zaicev, M. V., Sehgal, S. K. Finite-dimensional simple graded algebras. *Sbornik: Mathematics*, 2008, **199**:7, 965–983.
- [7] Berele, A. Cocharacter sequences for algebras with Hopf algebra actions. *J. Algebra*, **185** (1996), 869–885.
- [8] Dăscălescu, S., Năstăsescu, C., Raianu, Ş. Hopf algebras: an introduction. New York, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 2001.
- [9] Doi, Y., Takeuchi, M. Quaternion algebras and Hopf crossed products. *Comm. in Algebra*, **23**:9 (1995), 3291–3326.
- [10] Giambruno, A., Zaicev, M. V. Polynomial identities and asymptotic methods. AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Vol. 122, Providence, R.I., 2005.
- [11] Gordienko, A. S. Amitsur’s conjecture for associative algebras with a generalized Hopf action. *J. Pure and Appl. Alg.*, **217**:8 (2013), 1395–1411.
- [12] Gordienko, A. S. Asymptotics of H -identities for associative algebras with an H -invariant radical. *J. Algebra*, **393** (2013), 92–101.
- [13] Gordienko, A. S. Co-stability of radicals and its applications to PI-theory. *Algebra Colloquium* (to appear).
- [14] Gordienko, A. S. Algebras simple with respect to a Sweedler’s algebra action. [arXiv:1309.3664 \[math.RA\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.3664) 14 Sep 2013
- [15] Kharchenko, V. K. Differential identities of semiprime rings. *Algebra and Logic*, **18** (1979), 86–119.
- [16] Malcev, A. I. Foundations of linear algebra, San Francisco, W.H. Freeman, 1963.
- [17] Montgomery, S. Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, CBMS Lecture Notes **82**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [18] Sweedler, M. Hopf algebras. W.A. Benjamin, inc., New York, 1969.
- [19] Taft, E. J. Invariant Wedderburn factors. *Illinois J. Math.*, **1** (1957), 565–573.

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, BELGIUM

E-mail address: alexey.gordienko@vub.ac.be